



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,640	11/24/2003	Koji Shigemura	0096.1037	1164
49455	7590	05/11/2010	EXAMINER	
STEIN MCEWEN, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005				WALFORD, NATALIE K
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2879				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@smiplaw.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/718,640	SHIGEMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NATALIE K. WALFORD	2879

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2010.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-41 and 49-56 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-9,24,25,31-33 and 39-41 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) 23 and 26-30 is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10,11,15,16,34,35 and 49-56 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 12-14,17-22 and 36-38 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 November 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Response, filed on January 28, 2010, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner. Claims 1-41 and 49-56 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 10, 15, 34, and 49-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Himeshima et al. (US PUB 2001/0004469).

Regarding claim 1, Himeshima discloses an evaporation mask in figure 35 formed of a thin film (item 31), wherein the evaporation mask is drawn taut by application of tension (see FIG. 36e) and comprises: at least one mask unit, comprising: a plurality of main apertures (item 32), and a plurality of first dummy apertures (item 38) formed adjacent to outermost ones of the main apertures in a direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask (see FIG. 36e).

Regarding claim 2, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 1, wherein the main apertures form an effective deposition area (see FIG. 35), and the first dummy apertures form an ineffective deposition area (see FIG. 35).

Regarding claim 4, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 2, comprising at least two mask units (items 32, see two rows), and further comprising a plurality of second

dummy apertures (item 38) formed outside and adjacent to the outermost mask units in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask (see FIG. 35 and paragraph 110).

Regarding claim 5, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 4, wherein the second dummy apertures are formed outside the effective deposition areas where the mask units are formed (see FIG. 35).

Regarding claim 6, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 4, wherein at least one of the second dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures of the mask units (see FIG. 35), and at least another one of the second dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures (see FIG. 35).

Regarding claim 10, Himeshima discloses a method of manufacturing an organic electroluminescent (EL) device in figure 33, the method comprising: forming first electrodes (item 2) on a substrate (item 1); disposing an evaporation mask (item 31) to form an organic film (item 6) over the substrate, the evaporation mask drawn taut by application of tension (see FIG. 36e and paragraph 110) and having at least one mask unit, the mask unit comprising a plurality of main apertures (item 32) and a plurality of first dummy apertures (item 38) formed adjacent to outermost ones of the main apertures in a direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask; forming the organic film comprising an effective luminescent area to cover at least the first electrodes by evaporating an organic material containing an organic luminescent material through the main apertures (see FIG. 33), and forming a first dummy pattern area outside the effective luminescent area through the first dummy apertures (see FIG. 35); forming second electrodes (item 8) on the organic film so that the effective luminescent area is formed at an area where the first and second electrodes overlap; and sealing the resulting structure (paragraph 97).

Regarding claim 15, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 10, wherein in forming the second electrodes, an evaporation mask (item 31) to form the second electrodes is disposed over the substrate, the evaporation mask drawn taut by application of tension (see FIG. 36e) and having at least one mask unit, the mask unit comprising a plurality of main apertures (item 32) and a plurality of first dummy apertures (item 38) formed adjacent to the outermost main apertures in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask, the second electrodes are formed on the effective luminescent area through the main apertures (see FIG. 36e), and a second dummy pattern area is formed outside the effective luminescent area through the first dummy apertures (see FIG. 35).

Regarding claim 34, Himeshima discloses a method of manufacturing an organic EL device in figure 33, the method comprising: forming first electrodes (item 2) on a substrate (item 1) in a predetermined pattern; forming an organic film (item 6) comprising an effective luminescent area to cover at least the first electrodes by evaporating an organic material containing an organic luminescent material (item 6); disposing an evaporation mask (item 31) to form second electrodes (item 8) over the organic film, the evaporation mask drawn taut by application of tension (see FIG. 36e) and comprising a plurality of main apertures (item 32) and a plurality of first dummy apertures (item 38) formed adjacent to outermost ones of the main apertures in a direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask (see FIG. 36e); forming the second electrodes (item 8) through the main apertures so that the effective luminescent area is formed at an area where the first and second electrodes overlap (see FIG. 33), and forming a second dummy pattern area outside the effective luminescent area through the first dummy apertures (see FIG. 35); and sealing the resulting structure (paragraph 97).

Regarding claim 49, Himeshima discloses an evaporation mask in figure 35 formed of a thin film (item 31), wherein the evaporation mask is drawn taut by application of tension (see FIG. 36e), the evaporation mask comprising: at least one mask unit comprising: at least one main aperture (item 32), and at least one first dummy aperture (item 38) formed adjacent to an outermost at least one main aperture in a direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask (see FIG. 36e paragraph 110).

Regarding claim 50, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 49, further comprising at least one second dummy aperture (item 38) formed outside and adjacent to the outermost at least one mask unit in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask (see FIG. 36e).

Regarding claim 51, Himeshima discloses a mask unit for an evaporation mask in figure 35, comprising: a main aperture (item 32); and a dummy aperture (item 38); wherein the dummy aperture is deformed when a tension is applied to the evaporation mask in a direction perpendicular to a longitudinal direction of the main aperture and a longitudinal direction of the dummy aperture (see FIG. 36e), thereby minimizing a deformation of the main aperture by the tension applied to the evaporation mask (paragraphs 81 and 110).

Regarding claim 52, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 1, wherein a length of each of the first dummy apertures is equal to a length of each of the main apertures (see FIG. 35, top aperture of main aperture equal to dummy aperture in length).

Regarding claim 53, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 10, wherein a length of each of the first dummy apertures is equal to a length of each of the main apertures (see FIG. 35, top aperture of main aperture equal to dummy aperture in length).

Regarding claim 54, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 34, wherein a length of each of the first dummy apertures is equal to a length of each of the main apertures (see FIG. 35, top aperture of main aperture equal to dummy aperture in length).

Regarding claim 55, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 49, wherein a length of each of the at least one first dummy aperture is equal to a length of each of the at least one main aperture (see FIG. 35, top aperture of main aperture equal to dummy aperture in length).

Regarding claim 56, Himeshima discloses the mask unit of claim 51, wherein a length of the dummy aperture is equal to a length of the main aperture (see FIG. 35, top aperture of main aperture equal to dummy aperture in length).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 11, 16, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Himeshima et al. (US PUB 2001/0004469) in view of Nakagawara et al. (JP 2002-060927).

Regarding claim 3, Himeshima discloses the evaporation mask of claim 2, but does not expressly disclose that at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures, as claimed by Applicant. Nakagawara is cited to show a mask in figure 1 with

dummy apertures (item 3) that are formed parallel and perpendicular to main apertures (item 2). Nakagawara teaches that this pattern on the mask can absorb thermal expansion of the mask during formation (paragraph 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Himeshima's invention to include at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures as suggested by Nakagawara for absorbing thermal expansion of the mask during formation.

Regarding claim 11, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 10, but does not expressly disclose that at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures, as claimed by Applicant. Nakagawara is cited to show a mask in figure 1 with dummy apertures (item 3) that are formed parallel and perpendicular to main apertures (item 2). Nakagawara teaches that this pattern on the mask can absorb thermal expansion of the mask during formation (paragraph 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Himeshima's invention to include at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures as suggested by Nakagawara for absorbing thermal expansion of the mask during formation.

Regarding claim 16, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 15, but does not expressly disclose that at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures,

and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures, as claimed by Applicant. Nakagawara is cited to show a mask in figure 1 with dummy apertures (item 3) that are formed parallel and perpendicular to main apertures (item 2).

Nakagawara teaches that this pattern on the mask can absorb thermal expansion of the mask during formation (paragraph 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Himeshima invention to include at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures as suggested by Nakagawara for absorbing thermal expansion of the mask during formation.

Regarding claim 35, Himeshima discloses the method of claim 34, but does not expressly disclose that at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures, as claimed by Applicant. Nakagawara is cited to show a mask in figure 1 with dummy apertures (item 3) that are formed parallel and perpendicular to main apertures (item 2).

Nakagawara teaches that this pattern on the mask can absorb thermal expansion of the mask during formation (paragraph 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Himeshima's invention to include at least one of the first dummy apertures is formed parallel to the main apertures, and at least another one of the first dummy apertures is formed perpendicular to the main apertures as suggested by Nakagawara for absorbing thermal expansion of the mask during formation.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-14, 17-22, and 36-38 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 12, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 12, specifically for the limitation of at least two organic EL devices are manufactured in a single process, and the evaporation mask comprises at least two mask units, through each of which the organic film of a single organic EL device can be deposited, and a plurality of second dummy apertures outside and adjacent to outermost ones of the mask units in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 13-14, claims 13-14 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 12 because of their dependency status from claim 12.

Regarding claim 17, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 17, specifically for the limitation of at least two organic EL devices are manufactured in a single process, and the evaporation mask comprises at least two mask units, through each of which the second electrodes of a single organic EL device can be deposited, and a plurality of second dummy apertures outside and adjacent to the outermost mask units in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 18-19, claims 18-19 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 17 because of their dependency status from claim 17.

Regarding claim 20, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 20, specifically for the limitation of at least two organic EL devices are manufactured in a single process, the second electrodes are formed using an evaporation mask drawn taut by application of tension and having at least two mask units, through which the second electrodes of the organic EL devices can be deposited, and the evaporation mask comprises a plurality of second dummy apertures outside and adjacent to outermost mask units in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 21-22, claims 21-22 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 20 because of their dependency status from claim 20.

Regarding claim 36, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 36, specifically for the limitation of at least two mask units, through each of which the second electrodes of a single organic EL device can be deposited, and a plurality of second dummy apertures outside and adjacent to outermost ones of the mask units in the direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 37-38, claims 37-38 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 36 because of their dependency status from claim 36.

Claims 23 and 26-30 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 23, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 23, specifically for the limitation of at least two mask units each comprising a plurality of main apertures and a plurality of second dummy apertures formed outside and adjacent to outermost ones of the mask units in a direction in which tension is applied to the evaporation mask; forming the organic film comprising an effective luminescent area to cover at least the first electrodes by evaporating an organic material containing an organic luminescent material through the main apertures of each of the mask units; forming second electrodes on the organic film so that the effective luminescent area is formed at an area where the first and second electrodes overlap in combination with other claimed features of the present claimed invention.

Regarding claims 26-30, claims 26-30 are allowable for the reasons given in claim 23 because of their dependency status from claim 23.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. The Examiner first notes that the official translation has been provided for Nakagawara et al. (JP 2002-060927) in this office action. Applicant contends that Himeshima has the meshes (i.e. dummy apertures) cut off during fabrication of the mask. The Examiner first notes that any aperture may be described as a dummy aperture or main aperture, and as seen in figure 35 of Himeshima and previously indicated, the dummy apertures are item 38 and the main apertures are item 32, wherein a

plurality of both types of apertures exist. Furthermore, the Examiner points to paragraph 111, which clearly states that only PORTIONS of the mask are cutoff, more specifically the portions that extend beyond the frame. Nowhere does Himeshima disclose or suggest that all of the dummy apertures (item 38) are cut off, but merely suggests that those portions extending beyond the frame are cutoff (paragraph 111). Hence, Applicant's limitations are met as set forth.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie K. Walford whose telephone number is (571)-272-6012. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571)-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

nkw
/Natalie K Walford/
Examiner, Art Unit 2879

/NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2879